

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,090	11/24/2003	Pai-Hsueh Yang	PA-0551-US/11269.61 7170		
7590 07/16/2004 The Law Office of Steven G. Roeder			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, DUNG V		
5560 Chelsea Avenue La Jolla, CA 92037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3723		
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/722,090	YANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung V Nguyen	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,6-12,16,21-24 and 27</u> is/are rejected	l.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,13-15,17-20,25 and 26</u> is/are obje						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/722,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because same reference number 50 used for different parts (see Fig. 4A). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: typo error, page 10, line 11, "rotator 50" should be "rotator 52". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 10/722,090 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: typo error, "A apparatus" should be "An apparatus". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 8, 9, 11, 23, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Regarding claims 8, 9, 23 and 24, the phrase "somewhat" renders the claims indefinite because the claims include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "somewhat"), thereby rendering the scope of the claims unascertainable.
- 7. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the stage assembly" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. "The stage assembly" should be "The actuator assembly"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 6, 7, 10-12, 16, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Das et al (USPN 5,882,243). Das et al inherently discloses an

Application/Control Number: 10/722,090 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

actuator assembly comprising an actuator 20 including a first core 21, a conductor 24 secured to the core 21, a second core 22 spaced apart a component gap X from the first core 21, a control system 40 that directs current to the conductor to attract the second core 22 to the first core 21, wherein the amount of current directed to the conductor 24 is calculated without measuring the component gap, wherein the control system 40 adjusts the current to the conductor 24 to create a force to dampens oscillations or provides stiffness compensation. Das et al also discloses a method for positioning a stage 14 comprising the steps of coupling an actuator 20 to the stage 14, the actuator 20 including components as described above, directing a current with a control system 40 to the conductor 24 to attract the second core 22 to the first core 21 (note the Figure, col. 3, line 15 to col. 5, line 67).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 2-5, 13-15, 17-20, 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 8, 9, 11, 23, 24 and 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Birang et al, Kim et al, Crevasse et al and Natalicio are cited to show an actuator assembly for polishing apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,090

Art Unit: 3723

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Dung V Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-

0036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DVN July 13, 2004

> DUNG VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINIED